

REDUCTIONS AND DEVIATIONS FOR STOCHASTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS UNDER FAST DYNAMICAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

WEI WANG AND JINQIAO DUAN

ABSTRACT. In order to understand the impact of random influences at physical boundary on the evolution of multiscale systems, a stochastic partial differential equation model under a fast random dynamical boundary condition is investigated. The noises in the model and in the boundary condition are both *additive*. An effective equation is derived and justified by reducing the random *dynamical* boundary condition to a simpler one. The effective system is still a stochastic partial differential equation. Furthermore, the quantitative comparison between the solution of the original stochastic system and the effective solution is provided by establishing normal deviations and large deviations principles. Namely, the normal deviations are asymptotically characterized, while the rate and speed of the large deviations are estimated.

1. INTRODUCTION

The random fluctuations may have delicate impact in modeling, analyzing, simulating and predicting complex phenomena. The need to quantify uncertainties has been widely recognized in geophysical and climate dynamics, materials science, chemistry, biology and other areas [26, 18, 37]. Stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs or stochastic PDEs) are appropriate mathematical models for various multiscale systems under random influences [41].

A stochastic partial differential equation usually contains noise in the equation itself, i.e., the noise is acting on the system inside the physical medium [34, 12, 8, 40]. However, noise may affect a complex system not only inside the physical medium but also on the physical boundary. Randomness in such

Date: August 7, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60H15; Secondary 37H10, 35R60, 34D35.

Key words and phrases. Stochastic PDEs, random dynamical boundary condition, effective dynamics, dynamical reduction, normal and large deviations.

This work was partly supported by the NSFC grant 10701072 and the NSF grant 0620539.

boundary conditions are often due to various fast time scale environmental fluctuations.

The usual boundary conditions, such as the Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions, do not contain time derivatives of the system state. On the contrary, *dynamical* boundary conditions contain time derivatives of the state.

The boundary conditions may further contain random effects, as in some applications. For example, the environment surrounding a pipe fluid is usually subject to uncertain fluctuations, such as random vibration around a natural gas pipe or a waste water pipe. In a fluid laboratory, a wind tunnel or fluid pipe may be sitting on a flat foundation, which is also subject to random vibration. This noise affects the pipe fluid flow via boundary conditions such as a Dirichlet or Neumann boundary condition on a part of the pipe surface boundary, which is a static boundary condition perturbed by random fluctuations. The salinity flux on fluid inlet boundary of a gravity current (e.g., at the Strait of Gibraltar) has a fluctuating component and this leads to a random Neumann boundary condition [14, 4]. Stochastic dynamical systems under such random boundary conditions have been studied recently in, for example, [11, 23, 32, 35, 15, 4].

In some other applications, the evolution of systems may also be subject to dynamical boundary conditions (containing time derivatives of the system state), under random perturbations. Such *random dynamical boundary conditions* arise in the modeling of, for example, the air-sea interactions on the ocean surface [33], heat transfer in a solid in contact with a fluid [27], chemical reactor theory [28], as well as colloid and interface chemistry [39]. The random fluctuations on the boundary are usually much faster than the intrinsic time scale of these systems [15]. In these cases, the mathematical models are stochastic PDEs with fast-varying random dynamical boundary conditions. Such stochastic dynamical systems have been more recently investigated in, for example, [15, 9, 10, 42, 3].

Motivated by better analytical understanding of the above-mentioned multiscale systems under fast scale random dynamical interactions on the physical boundary, as well as noisy forcing inside the physical medium, we consider a stochastic parabolic partial differential equation on a bounded domain D under fast varying random dynamical boundary condition on a part of the boundary ∂D . The fast time scale in the random dynamical boundary condition is controlled by a small parameter $\epsilon > 0$ and perturbed by a noise (white in time but correlated in space). Specifically, we study the following stochastic parabolic partial differential equation with a random dynamical boundary condition on a part of boundary Γ_1 and a Dirichlet boundary condition on the

rest of boundary:

$$\begin{aligned} du_\epsilon &= [\Delta u_\epsilon + f(u_\epsilon)] dt + \sigma_1 dW_1(t), \text{ in } D \\ \epsilon du_\epsilon &= [-\partial_\nu u_\epsilon - u_\epsilon] dt + \sqrt{\epsilon} \sigma_2 dW_2(t), \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \\ u_\epsilon &= 0, \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \end{aligned}$$

where Γ_1 and Γ_2 form the whole of the boundary ∂D of domain D , $f(u)$ is some nonlinear term, and σ_1, σ_2 and ϵ are constants. More details of this model will be presented in the next section.

First, we derive an effective model for the above system as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The effective model is still a stochastic partial differential equation, but with a simpler boundary condition (see Theorem 5.2). Note that simple boundary conditions not only facilitate theoretical analysis but also are desirable for numerical simulations. To this end, we formulate the above stochastic system as an abstract stochastic evolution equation with non-Lipschitz nonlinear term having polynomial growth and fulfilling a suitable dissipativity condition. Since the nonlinear term is non-Lipschitz, we introduce a cut-off function and a stopping time to obtain a unique mild solution, which is also the unique weak solution for the system (see §3). Here we follow a semigroup approach which is also used in [6] to obtain a mild solution for a class of reaction-diffusion equations with multiplicative noise and non-Lipschitz reaction term but with deterministic static homogenous boundary condition. Next we present some useful a priori estimates for the weak solutions which yield the tightness of the distributions of the solutions (see §4). Then by a discussion in the variational form of the system we can pass the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to get the effective equation which is a stochastic partial differential equation but with a simpler boundary condition. And further we show that u_ϵ converges to the effective solution u of the limiting equation, in probability, in an appropriate function space.

Then we determine the normalized deviation between the solution u_ϵ of the original system and the solution u of the effective system we obtained. it is proved that the normalized deviation

$$v_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}[u_\epsilon - u]$$

converges, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, to a process which solves a linear partial differential equation with random coefficients, under a *random* boundary condition. Namely, this random boundary condition is a deterministic static boundary condition perturbed by a white noise; see Theorem 6.3.

Finally, we investigate the deviations $u_\epsilon - u$ of order ϵ^κ , with $0 < \kappa < 1/2$. In fact a large deviation result (see Theorem 7.8) is proved for

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^\kappa}[u_\epsilon - u].$$

In this weak convergence approach we prove the Laplace principle which is equivalent to the large deviations principle in a complete separable metric space (i.e., Polish space). For background see [5, 17, 21].

In [23] the authors have studied a system of reaction-diffusion equations with Lipschitz nonlinear term in open interval $(-1, 1)$ with a static boundary condition perturbed by a stationary random process which varies fast in time. The solution is represented through a Green function, then the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is passed in the space $C([0, T] \times (-1, 1))$ and one gets a deterministic partial differential equation. The normal deviations of the solutions are then obtained in a weighted space with some assumptions on mixing properties of the random stationary process.

This paper is organized as follows. The problem formulation and some preliminary results are presented in §2. Section 3 is devoted to the derivation of some useful a priori estimates. The tightness of the laws or distributions for the solutions is proved in §4 and then the effective model is derived in §5. The normalized deviations are studied in section §6 and the last section, §7, is devoted to a large deviations result.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Let D be a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($1 \leq N \leq 3$), with boundary Γ_0 . Assume that $\Gamma_0 = \bar{\Gamma}_1 \cup \bar{\Gamma}_2$, where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are open subsets of Γ_0 and $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$. We consider the following stochastic partial differential equation with a random dynamical boundary condition on Γ_1 and a Dirichlet boundary condition on Γ_2 :

$$du_\epsilon = [\Delta u_\epsilon + f(u_\epsilon)] dt + \sigma_1 dW_1(t) \text{ in } D, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\epsilon du_\epsilon = [-\partial_\nu u_\epsilon - u_\epsilon] dt + \sqrt{\epsilon} \sigma_2 dW_2(t) \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \quad (2.2)$$

$$u_\epsilon = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2, \quad (2.3)$$

$$u_\epsilon(0) = u^0 \text{ in } D, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\gamma_1 u_\epsilon(0) = \gamma_1 u^0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \quad (2.5)$$

where ϵ is real number with $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$; $\nu = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N)$ is the outer unit normal vector on Γ_1 , and

$$\partial_\nu = \sum_{i=1}^N n_i \partial_{x_i}.$$

$W_1(t, x)$ and $W_2(t, x)$ are mutually independent $L^2(D)$ -valued and $L^2(\Gamma_1)$ -valued Wiener processes, respectively, on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ with a canonical filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Moreover, γ_1 is a trace operator on Γ_1 (see next paragraph), and σ_1 and σ_2 are both constants. In fact, $\sigma_1 := \sigma_1 I_{L^2(D)}$ and $\sigma_2 := \sigma_2 I_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}$, where $I_{L^2(D)}$ and $I_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}$ are the identity operators on $L^2(D)$ and $L^2(\Gamma_1)$ respectively. Taking $\epsilon = 0$ we formally have

$$du = [\Delta u + f(u)] dt + \sigma_1 dW_1(t) \text{ in } D, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\partial_\nu u + u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \quad (2.7)$$

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2, \quad (2.8)$$

$$u(0) = u^0 \text{ in } D. \quad (2.9)$$

In the following we rewrite the equation in an abstract setting. Denote by $\mathbf{H}^s(D)$ the Sobolev spaces $W_2^s(D)$, $s \geq 0$, with the usual norms; see for instance [30] for the definition. Note that $\mathbf{H}^0(D) = L^2(D)$. We also define $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^s(D)$ and $\mathbf{H}_0^s(D)$, $s \geq 0$, the spaces consisting of the function of $\mathbf{H}^s(D)$ which vanish on the boundary Γ_2 and ∂D , respectively. In addition we denote by $\mathbf{B}^s(\Gamma_1)$ the Sobolev space $\mathbf{H}^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_1)$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$ on the boundary Γ_1 . And let γ_1 be the trace operator with respect to Γ_1 which is continuous linear operator from $\mathbf{H}^s(D)$ to $\mathbf{B}^s(\Gamma_1)$ for $s > \frac{1}{2}$. For more information on trace operators we refer to [38]. We also define spaces $\mathbf{H}^{-s}(D)$, $s \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{B}^{1-s}(\Gamma_1)$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$ as the dual spaces of $\mathbf{H}_0^s(D)$ and $\mathbf{B}^s(\Gamma_1)$ respectively.

We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Gamma_1}$ the usual inner products in $L^2(D)$ and $L^2(\Gamma_1)$, respectively.

For our system we introduce the following functional spaces

$$X_\epsilon^1 = \left\{ (u, v) \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D) \times \mathbf{B}^1(\Gamma_1) : v = \sqrt{\epsilon} \gamma_1 u \right\}$$

and $X^0 = L_{\Gamma_2}^2(D) \times L^2(\Gamma_1)$. Here $L_{\Gamma_2}^2(D)$ is the space consisting of functions in $L^2(D)$ which vanish on Γ_2 . Define the norm and inner product on X^0 and X_ϵ^1 respectively as

$$|(u, v)|_{X^0}^2 = |u|_{L^2(D)}^2 + |v|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2, \quad (u, v) \in X^0,$$

$$\langle (u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \rangle_{X^0} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle_D + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\Gamma_1}$$

and

$$|(u, v)|_{X_\epsilon^1}^2 = |u|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 + |v|_{\mathbf{B}^1(\Gamma_1)}^2, \quad (u, v) \in X_\epsilon^1,$$

$$\langle (u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \rangle_{X_\epsilon^1} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)} + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{B}^1(\Gamma_1)}$$

for $(u_i, v_i) \in X^0$, $i = 1, 2$. Here $|u|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}$ is taken as the equivalent norm as $|u|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)} = |\nabla u|_{L^2}$.

Remark 2.1. Notice that the space X_ϵ^1 depends on ϵ but in the next section we just give some estimates for a fixed $\epsilon > 0$. And for passing the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we will consider in a fixed space instead of X_ϵ^1 .

Now we define a boundary operator B on $\mathbf{H}^1(D)$ as

$$Bu = \gamma_1 \partial_\nu u + \gamma_1 u, \quad u \in \mathbf{H}^1(D)$$

and a second order differential operator $A = -\Delta$ with homogenous Neumann boundary condition. Then we introduce the operator $\mathcal{A}$ on $D(\mathcal{A}) = \{(u, v) \in X_\epsilon^1 : (-\Delta u, Bu) \in X^0\}$ as

$$\mathcal{A}z = \left(Au, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} Bu \right), \quad z = (u, v) \in X_\epsilon^1. \quad (2.10)$$

Associate with the operator $\mathcal{A}$ we introduce the following bilinear form on X_ϵ^1

$$a(z, \bar{z}) = \langle \mathcal{A}z, \bar{z} \rangle_{X^0} = \int_D \nabla u \nabla \bar{u} dx + \int_{\Gamma_1} (\gamma_1 u)(\gamma_1 \bar{u}) d\Gamma_1 \quad (2.11)$$

with $z = (u, v)$, $\bar{z} = (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in X_\epsilon^1$. Noticing that

$$|\gamma_1 u|_{\mathbf{B}^1(\Gamma_1)}^2 \leq C(\Gamma_1) |u|_{\mathbf{H}^1(D)}^2, \quad (2.12)$$

there is some constants $M > 0$, $\tilde{\alpha} > 0$ and $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a(z, \bar{z}) \leq M |u|_{\mathbf{H}^1(D)} |\bar{u}|_{\mathbf{H}^1(D)} \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$a(z, z) \geq \tilde{\alpha} |u|_{\mathbf{H}^1(D)}^2 - \tilde{\beta} |u|_{L^2(D)}^2.$$

Also by (2.12) then the following coercive property of a holds

$$a(z, z) \geq \alpha |z|_{X_\epsilon^1}^2 - \beta |z|_{X^0}^2, \quad z \in X_\epsilon^1 \quad (2.14)$$

for some constants $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the linear operator $-\mathcal{A}$ generates a C_0 -semigroup, $\mathcal{S}(t)$, which is compact and analytic on X^0 ; see [1].

Remark 2.2. Sometimes we also use the notation $a(u, \bar{u})$ instead of $a(z, \bar{z})$ for any $z = (u, v)$, $\bar{z} = (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in X_\epsilon^1$.

Now for the nonlinear term we make the following assumptions

(F): $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 -continuous and there are positive constants a_1, b_1 such that

$$f(u)u \leq -a_1 u^4 + b_1, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$|f(u)| \leq a_1 |u|^3 + b_1, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$f'(u) \leq -a_1 u^2 + b_1, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Define $F(u) = \int_0^u f(v)dv$. Then by the assumptions **(F)** there is some positive constant $\tilde{b}$ such that

$$F(u) \leq \tilde{b} \text{ and } f'(u) \leq \tilde{b}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.15)$$

For the stochastic term we assume the following conditions.

(Σ): Stochastic process $W(t) = (W_1(t), W_2(t))^t$, is a Q -Wiener process on X^0 , defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ with covariance operator $Q = (Q_1, Q_2)$ is trace class. Furthermore we assume $\text{Tr}(A^{\frac{1}{2}}Q_1) < \infty$.

Remark 2.3. An example of such functions f is given by the following cubic polynomial

$$f(u) = -au^3 + bu^2 + c$$

with $a > 0$, $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.4. In (Σ) , the technical condition on Q_1 is for the proof of regular properties of solution. As one example for such Q_1 , one can define $W_1 = \sqrt{q(x)}w(t)$ with positive function $q \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(D)$ and w a standard scalar Wiener process. Then, by the property of trace operator, the covariance operator of W_1 , $Q_1 = q$ satisfies $\text{Tr}(A^{1/2}q) = |q|_{\mathbf{H}_0^1(D)}^2 < \infty$.

With the above notations system (2.1)–(2.5) can be written as the following abstract stochastic evolutionary equation

$$dz_\epsilon(t) = [-\mathcal{A}z_\epsilon + H_\epsilon(z_\epsilon)]dt + \Sigma dW(t), \quad z(0) = z^0 \quad (2.16)$$

where $z_\epsilon = (u_\epsilon, \sqrt{\epsilon}\gamma_1 u_\epsilon)^t$, $H_\epsilon(z)(x) = (f(u(x)), 0)^t$, $\Sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)^t$ and $W(t) = (W_1(t), W_2(t))^t$. The equation (2.16) can be further rewritten in the following mild sense

$$z_\epsilon(t) = \mathcal{S}(t)z^0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{S}(t-s)H_\epsilon(z_\epsilon(s))ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{S}(t-s)\Sigma dW(s). \quad (2.17)$$

An adapted process z_ϵ is called a mild solution of (2.16) if (2.17) hold. For $u_\epsilon \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)) \cap C(0, T; L^2(D))$, we call u_ϵ a weak solution of (2.1)–(2.5) if for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u_\epsilon(t), \psi(t) \rangle_D + \epsilon \langle \gamma_1 u_\epsilon(t), \psi(t) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} \\ &= \langle u_\epsilon(0), \psi(0) \rangle_D + \epsilon \langle \gamma_1 u_\epsilon(0), \psi(0) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} + \int_0^t \langle u_\epsilon(s), \frac{\partial \psi(s)}{\partial t} \rangle_D ds \\ &+ \epsilon \int_0^t \langle (\gamma_1 u_\epsilon)(s), \frac{\partial \psi(s)}{\partial t} \rangle_{\Gamma_1} ds + \int_0^t a(u_\epsilon, \psi) ds + \int_0^t \langle f(u_\epsilon), \psi \rangle_D ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle \psi, \sigma_1 dW_1(s) \rangle_D + \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^t \langle \psi, \sigma_2 dW_2(s) \rangle_{\Gamma_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

for any $\psi \in C^1(0, T; C^\infty(D))$. For more detail about solution of SPDEs we refer to [12].

We end this section by recalling the following two lemmas from [29], which will be used in our later analysis.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a bounded region in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. For any given functions h_ϵ and h in $L^p(\mathcal{Q})$ ($1 < p < \infty$), if*

$$|h_\epsilon|_{L^p(\mathcal{Q})} \leq C, \quad h_\epsilon \rightarrow h \text{ in } \mathcal{Q} \text{ almost everywhere}$$

for some positive constant C , then $h_\epsilon \rightharpoonup h$ weakly in $L^p(\mathcal{Q})$.

Let $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{Z}$ be three reflexive Banach spaces and $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ with compact and dense embedding. Define Banach space

$$\mathcal{G} = \{h : h \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{X}), \frac{dh}{dt} \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{Z})\}$$

with norm

$$|h|_{\mathcal{G}}^2 = \int_0^T |h(s)|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 ds + \int_0^T \left| \frac{dh}{ds}(s) \right|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2 ds, \quad h \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Lemma 2.6. *If G is bounded in $\mathcal{G}$, then G is precompact in $L^2(0, T; \mathcal{Y})$.*

3. SOME A PRIORI ESTIMATES

In this section we prove the stochastic evolutionary equation (2.16) is well-posed and further derive a few useful a priori estimates on the solutions. Since the nonlinear term is non-Lipschitz, we apply the cut-off technique with a random stopping time. The same idea was used in [6, 7] for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations with local Lipschitz nonlinear terms and multiplicative noise. See also [31] for systems on unbounded domain.

Theorem 3.1. (Wellposedness)

Assume that $(\mathbf{F})$ and $(\mathbf{\Sigma})$ hold. For any $T > 0$, let $z^0 = (u^0, v^0) \in X^0$ be a $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{B}(X^0))$ -measurable random variable. Then system (2.16) has a unique mild solution $z_\epsilon \in L^2(\Omega, C(0, T; X^0) \cap L^2(0, T; X_\epsilon^1))$, which is also a weak solution in the following sense

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle z_\epsilon(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{X^0} - \langle z_\epsilon(0), \phi(0) \rangle_{X^0} \\ &= \int_0^t \left\langle z_\epsilon(s), \frac{\partial \phi(s)}{\partial t} \right\rangle_{X^0} ds - \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}z_\epsilon(s), \phi(s) \rangle_{X^0} ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \langle H_\epsilon(z_\epsilon(s)), \phi(s) \rangle_{X^0} ds + \int_0^t \langle \Sigma dW(s), \phi(s) \rangle_{X^0} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for $t \in [0, T)$ and $\phi \in C^1(0, T; X_\epsilon^1)$. Moreover if z^0 is independent of $W(t)$ with $\mathbf{E}|z^0|_{X^0}^4 < \infty$, then there is positive constant C_T , which is independent of ϵ , such that the following estimates hold:

$$\mathbf{E}|z_\epsilon(t)|_{X^0}^2 + \mathbf{E} \int_0^t |z_\epsilon(s)|_{X_\epsilon^1}^2 ds \leq (1 + \mathbf{E}|z^0|_{X^0}^2)C_T, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T] \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\mathbf{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |z_\epsilon(t)|_{X^0}^2 \right\} \leq (1 + \mathbf{E}|z^0|_{X^0}^2 + \mathbf{E} \int_0^T |z_\epsilon(s)|_{X_\epsilon^1}^2 ds)C_T. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. For any integer n , we introduce the following cut-off function $P^n : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ which is a smooth function satisfying $P^n(x) = 1$ if $x < n$ and $P^n(x) = 0$ if $x > n + 1$. Then nonlinear function $P^n(|z|)H_\epsilon(z)$ is Lipschitz in both X^0 and X^1 where $|z| = |u| + \sqrt{\epsilon}|\gamma_1 u|$ for $z = (u, \sqrt{\epsilon}\gamma_1 u)$. Now we have the following system with globally Lipschitz nonlinear term

$$\tilde{z}_\epsilon^n(t) = \mathcal{S}(t)z^0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{S}(t-s)P^n(|\tilde{z}_\epsilon^n(s)|)H_\epsilon(\tilde{z}_\epsilon^n(s))ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{S}(t-s)\Sigma dW(s). \quad (3.4)$$

Define a random stopping time $\tau^n(R)$ by

$$\tau^n(R) = \inf \{t > 0 : |\tilde{z}^n(t)| \geq R\}.$$

Fix arbitrarily a positive number $R < n$ and denote by χ_I the characteristic function of the set I . Consider the following integral equation for $t < \tau^n(R)$:

$$\begin{aligned} z_\epsilon^n(t) &= \mathcal{S}(t)z^0 + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau^n(R)} \mathcal{S}(t-s)P^n(|z_\epsilon^n(s)|)H_\epsilon(z_\epsilon^n(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau^n(R)} \mathcal{S}(t-s)\Sigma dW(s). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Then by the Theorem 7.4 in [12], for any $T > 0$, the equation (3.5) has a unique solution $z_\epsilon^n \in L^2(\Omega, C(0, T; X^0) \cap L^2(0, T; X^1))$. Moreover z_ϵ^n is independent of n , for $n > R$ and satisfies (2.16) for $t < \tau^n(R)$.

In the following we first derive some a priori estimates for z_ϵ in $L^2(\Omega, C(0, T; X^0) \cap L^2(0, T; X^1))$. Then we prove the wellposedness of the problem (2.16).

Applying the Itô formula to $|z_\epsilon|_{X^0}^2$ yields

$$d|z_\epsilon|_{X^0}^2 + 2\langle \mathcal{A}z_\epsilon, z_\epsilon \rangle_{X^0} dt = 2\langle H_\epsilon(z_\epsilon), z_\epsilon \rangle_{X^0} dt + 2\langle \Sigma dW(t), z_\epsilon \rangle_{X^0} + \|\Sigma\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^Q}^2.$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^Q}$ denotes the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of operator from $Q^{1/2}X^0$ to X^0 . Similar for $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{Q_1}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{Q_2}}$ in the following. By the assumption **(F)** we have

$$\langle H_\epsilon(z_\epsilon), z_\epsilon \rangle_{X^0} = \langle f(u_\epsilon), u_\epsilon \rangle_D \leq b_1 \text{Mes}(D)$$

where $\text{Mes}(D)$ is the Lebesgue measure of the domain D . From the assumptions $(\mathbf{W})$ and (Σ) , for any $\kappa \in (1/2, 1)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^Q}^2 &= \|\sigma_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{Q_1}}^2 + \|\sigma_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{Q_2}}^2 \\ &\leq \sigma_1^2 \text{tr} Q_1 + \sigma_2^2 \text{tr} Q_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now combining all the above analysis and (2.14) yields

$$d|z_\epsilon|_{X^0}^2 + \alpha|z_\epsilon|_{X^1}^2 dt \leq [\bar{b} + \sigma_1^2 \text{tr} Q_1 + \sigma_2^2 \text{tr} Q_2] dt + 2\langle \Sigma dW(t), z_\epsilon \rangle_{X^0} \quad (3.6)$$

where $\bar{b} = 2b_1 \text{Mes}(D)$. Integrating from 0 to t and taking expectation on both sides of the above formula we have the estimate (3.2) by the Gronwall inequality [12]. For a further estimate, we apply the Itô formula to $|z_\epsilon|_{X^0}^{2m}$, $m > 1$, and by calculation similar to get (3.2) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}|z_\epsilon|_{X^0}^{2m} + \mathbf{E}(|z_\epsilon|_{X^0}^{2m-2} |z_\epsilon|_{X^1}^2) \leq C_1 \mathbf{E}|z_\epsilon|_{X^0}^{2m-2} + C_2 t$$

for some positive constants C_1 and C_2 . Then by the Gronwall inequality we have

$$\mathbf{E}|z_\epsilon(t)|_{X^0}^{2m} + \mathbf{E} \int_0^t |z_\epsilon(s)|_{X^0}^{2m-2} |z_\epsilon(s)|_{X^1}^2 ds \leq C_T (1 + \mathbf{E}|z^0|_{X^0}^{2m}). \quad (3.7)$$

Integrating both sides of (3.6) from 0 to t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |z_\epsilon(t)|_{X^0}^2 &\leq |z^0|_{X^0}^2 + [\sigma_1^2 \text{tr} Q_1 + \sigma_2^2 \text{tr} Q_2 + \bar{b}]T + \\ &\quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t \langle \Sigma \dot{W}(s), z_\epsilon(s) \rangle_{X^0} ds \right|^2 + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality [13] and the assumption (Σ) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t \langle \Sigma \dot{W}(s), z_\epsilon(s) \rangle_{X^0} ds \right|^2 \\ &\leq C \mathbf{E} \int_0^T |z_\epsilon(s)|_{X^0}^2 \|\Sigma\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^Q}^2 ds \\ &\leq C' \mathbf{E} \int_0^T |z_\epsilon(s)|_{X^0}^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants C and C' . Then by (3.2) we obtain the estimate (3.3) from (3.8).

Now we continue to prove the wellposedness of the system (2.16). By the assumption of f , z_ϵ^n also satisfies the estimates (3.2) and (3.3) which is independent of n and R . Then we have $\tau(R) \rightarrow \infty$ almost surely as $R \rightarrow \infty$. For any $T > 0$ define $z_\epsilon(t) = z_\epsilon^n(t)$ for some n and R with $n > R$ and $\tau(R) > T$

almost surely. Thus $\mathbb{P}(\tau(R) \leq T) = 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ for any $T > 0$; for more details about proving global existence, see [8]. The uniqueness and continuity on initial value of z_ϵ follows from those of z_ϵ^n . Finally, by the stochastic Fubini theorem, i.e., Theorem 4.18 in [12], and the same discussion of [9], we have (3.1).

The proof is complete. $\square$

By Theorem 3.1 and the definition of z_ϵ we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Under the same conditions as in Theorem 3.1, for $t \in [0, T]$, the following estimates hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}(|u_\epsilon(t)|_{L^2(D)}^2 + \epsilon|\gamma_1 u_\epsilon(t)|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2) + \\ & \int_0^t \mathbf{E}(|u_\epsilon(s)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 + \epsilon|\gamma_1 u_\epsilon(s)|_{\mathbf{B}^1(\Gamma_1)}^2) ds \leq (1 + \mathbf{E}|z^0|_{X^0}^2)C_T \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} [|u_\epsilon(t)|_{L^2(D)}^2 + \epsilon|\gamma_1 u_\epsilon(t)|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2] \right\} \leq (1 + \mathbf{E}|z^0|_{X^0}^2)C_T, \quad (3.10)$$

for some positive constant C_T , independent of ϵ .

Since the nonlinear term increases polynomially, in order to pass the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in system (2.1)–(2.5), we need a priori estimates for u_ϵ in the space $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)$. In fact we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that $(\mathbf{F})$ and (Σ) hold. Let $z^0 = (u^0, v^0) \in X^0$ be a $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{B}(X^0))$ -measurable random variable with $\mathbf{E}|z^0|_{X^1}^2 < \infty$. Then for any $T > 0$, the solution (2.16) $z_\epsilon = (u_\epsilon, \sqrt{\epsilon}\gamma_1 u_\epsilon) \in L^2(\Omega, L^2(0, T; X^1) \cap C(0, T; X^0))$ and it satisfies the following estimates:*

$$\mathbf{E}|u_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 + \mathbf{E} \int_0^t |\Delta u_\epsilon(s)|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds \leq (1 + \mathbf{E}|z^0|_{X^1}^2)C_T \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |u_\epsilon(s)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 \leq (1 + \mathbf{E}|z^0|_{X^1}^2)C_T \quad (3.12)$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$ and for some positive constant C_T , independent of ϵ .

Proof. Let $V(z) = a(z, z)$. Applying the Itô formula to $V(z_\epsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} V(z_\epsilon) \\ &= -\langle \mathcal{A}_\epsilon z_\epsilon, \mathcal{A}_\epsilon z_\epsilon \rangle_{X_0} + \langle H(z_\epsilon), \mathcal{A}_\epsilon z_\epsilon \rangle_{X_0} + \langle \Sigma \dot{W}, \mathcal{A}_\epsilon z_\epsilon \rangle_{X_0} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\|\sigma_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_1}}^2 + \|\sigma_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{Q_2}}^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

By definition of $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon$ and (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle H(z_\epsilon), \mathcal{A}_\epsilon z_\epsilon \rangle_{X_0} &= -\langle f(u_\epsilon), \Delta u_\epsilon \rangle_D \\
&= \langle f'(u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon \rangle_D - \langle \partial_\nu u_\epsilon, f(u_\epsilon) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} \\
&= \langle f'(u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon \rangle_D + \langle \epsilon \dot{u}_\epsilon, f(u_\epsilon) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} + \langle u_\epsilon, f(u_\epsilon) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} \\
&\quad - \langle \sqrt{\epsilon} \sigma_2 \dot{W}_2, f(u_\epsilon) \rangle_{\Gamma_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Also by the Itô formula

$$\langle \epsilon \dot{u}_\epsilon, f(u_\epsilon) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} = \epsilon \frac{d}{dt} \langle F(u_\epsilon), 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_1} - \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} \text{tr} (D_{uu} \langle F(u_\epsilon), 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_1} Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}})^*).$$

Then we can have from (3.13)–(3.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[V(z_\epsilon) - 2\epsilon \langle F(u_\epsilon), 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_1} \right] \\
&= -|\mathcal{A}_\epsilon z_\epsilon|_{X_0}^2 - \langle \Sigma \dot{W}, \mathcal{A}_\epsilon z_\epsilon \rangle_{X_0} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\|\sigma_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_1}}^2 + \|\sigma_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{Q_2}}^2 \right] \\
&\quad + \langle f'(u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon \rangle_D + \langle u_\epsilon, f(u_\epsilon) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} - \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} \text{tr} (D_{uu} \langle F(u_\epsilon), 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_1} Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}})^*).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

By assumptions **(F)** and **(Σ)**, taking expectation on both sides of (3.15), applying the Cauchy inequality and noticing that

$$|u_\epsilon|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2 \leq C_\epsilon |u_\epsilon|_{L^2(D)}^2 + \epsilon |u_\epsilon|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 \tag{3.16}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$, we can have (3.11) by the Gronwall inequality and Corollary 3.2 by taking $\epsilon > 0$ small enough.

Integrating both sides of (3.15) from 0 to t , and using the Cauchy inequality, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |u_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E} |u^0|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 + C \mathbf{E} \left[\int_0^T |u_\epsilon(s)|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds + \int_0^T |u_\epsilon(s)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 ds + 1 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C depending only on $\tilde{b}$, Q_1 , Q_2 , σ_1 and σ_2 . Then we have (3.12) by Corollary 3.2.

The proof is complete. $\square$

4. TIGHTNESS OF THE DISTRIBUTIONS OF SOLUTIONS

We intent to investigate the limit of the solution u_ϵ of stochastic system (2.1)–(2.5) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the sense of distribution. For this purpose, in this section, we establish results on tightness of the distributions of the solutions.

Let μ_ϵ be the distribution of u_ϵ , which generates a Radon probability measure on the following metric space

$$\mathcal{H} := L^2(0, T; L^2(D)) \cap C(0, T; H^{-1}(D)).$$

Now we prove that the family of distributions $\{\mu_\epsilon\}$ is tight in the space $\mathcal{H}$.

We apply the a priori estimates in the preceding section to obtain the tightness of $\{\mu_\epsilon\}$.

First by the property of Wiener process, for some $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{|t-r| \leq \tau} \frac{|\sigma_1 W(t) - \sigma_1 W(r)|_{L^2(D)}}{|t-r|^\rho} \leq \sigma_1 C_T. \quad (4.1)$$

Then, by estimate (3.2) and (3.12), for any given $\delta > 0$, there is a positive constant C_T^δ such that

$$\mathbf{P}\{A_\delta\} > 1 - \delta$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_\delta = \{ \omega \in \Omega : \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)} \leq C_T^\delta, \sup_{|t-r| \leq \tau} \frac{|\sigma_1 W(t) - \sigma_1 W(r)|_{L^2(D)}}{|t-r|^\rho} \leq C_T^\delta \\ \text{and } \int_0^T |u_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 dt \leq C_T^\delta \}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

For any $\varphi \in H_0^1(D)$, by (2.1)–(2.5), we have

$$d\langle u_\epsilon(s), \varphi \rangle_D = a(u_\epsilon, \varphi) ds + \langle f(u_\epsilon), \varphi \rangle_D ds + \langle \sigma_1 dW_1(s), \varphi \rangle_D.$$

By the definition of $a(z, \bar{z})$, we have

$$\sup_{|t-r| \leq \tau} \left| \int_r^t a(u_\epsilon, \varphi) ds \right| \leq M \left[\int_0^T |u_\epsilon(s)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 ds \right]^{1/2} |\varphi|_{H_0^1(D)} \sqrt{\tau} \quad (4.3)$$

where M is defined in (2.13). By the assumption **(F)** and the embedding $\mathbf{H}_0^1(D)$ into $L^6(D)$ we have

$$\sup_{|t-r| \leq \tau} \left| \int_r^t \langle f(u_\epsilon), \varphi \rangle_D ds \right| \leq C' \left[1 + \int_0^T |u_\epsilon(s)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^6 ds \right]^{1/2} |\varphi|_{H_0^1(D)} \sqrt{\tau} \quad (4.4)$$

for some positive constant C' . Then if $\omega \in A_\delta$, by the definition of A_δ and (4.3)–(4.4)

$$|u_\epsilon|_{C^\rho(0, T; H^{-1}(D)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D))} \leq C(T, \delta)$$

for some positive constant $C(T, \delta)$. Define set $K_\delta \subset \mathcal{H}$ as

$$K_\delta = \{ u \in \mathcal{H} : |u_\epsilon|_{C^\rho(0, T; H^{-1}(D)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D))} \leq C(T, \delta) \}.$$

Then by the compact embedding of $C^\rho(0, T; H^{-1}(D)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D))$ into $\mathcal{H}$, K_δ is compact in $\mathcal{H}$. And by the definition of A_δ and above analysis we have

$$\mathbf{P}\{u_\epsilon \in K_\delta\} > 1 - \delta.$$

Then we proved the following result.

Theorem 4.1. (*Tightness of distributions of solutions*)

The family of distributions of the solutions, $\{\mu_\epsilon\}$, is tight in the space $\mathcal{H}$.

5. EFFECTIVE DYNAMICS

In this section we pass the limit of $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (2.18) and obtain the limiting system in a certain sense to be specified below. We always assume that $\mathbf{E}|z^0|_{X^1}^2 < \infty$ and $\mathbf{E}|z^0|_{X^0}^4 < \infty$ in the following.

Since $\{\mu_\epsilon\}$ is tight in the space $\mathcal{H}$ (defined in the beginning of the last section), for any $\delta > 0$ there is a compact set $K_\delta \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\mathbf{P}\{u_\epsilon \in K_\delta\} > 1 - \delta.$$

Here K_δ is chosen as a family of decreasing sets with respect to δ , i.e. $K_\delta \subset K_{\delta'}$ for any $\delta \geq \delta' > 0$. Moreover by the analysis of last section we can choose the set K_δ with some positive constant C_T^δ , depending on T and δ , such that $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |u_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 \leq C_T^\delta$ for $u_\epsilon \in K_\delta$.

Then Prohorov theorem and Skorohod embedding theorem ([12]) assure that for any sequence $\{\epsilon_j\}_j$ with $\epsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, there exist subsequence $\{\epsilon_{j(k)}\}$, random elements $\{u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*\} \subset \mathcal{H}$, $u^* \in \mathcal{H}$, $u^{0*} \in L^2(D)$ and $L^2(D)$ -valued Wiener process W_1^* , $L^2(\Gamma_1)$ -valued Wiener process W_2^* defined on a new probability space $(\Omega^*, \mathcal{F}^*, \mathbb{P}^*)$, such that

$$\mathcal{L}(u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*) = \mathcal{L}(u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}})$$

and

$$u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^* \rightarrow u^* \text{ in } \mathcal{H} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

for almost all $\omega \in \Omega^*$. Moreover $u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*$ solves system (2.1)–(2.5) with W_1 and W_2 are replaced by Wiener process W_{1k}^* and W_{2k}^* respectively defined on probability space $(\Omega^*, \mathcal{F}^*, \mathbb{P}^*)$ with same distribution as W_1 and W_2 for any k . And u^0 is replaced by a random variable u_k^{0*} with $\mathcal{L}(u^0) = \mathcal{L}(u_k^{0*})$. And for $\mathbb{P}^*$ - almost all $\omega \in \Omega^*$, $|u_k^{0*} - u^{0*}|_{L^2(D)} \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |W_1^*(t) - W_{1k}^*(t)|_{L^2(D)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |W_2^*(t) - W_{2k}^*(t)|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \rightarrow 0$$

for $k \rightarrow \infty$. Now we will determine the limiting equation satisfied by u^* and the limiting equation is independent of ϵ . In fact we will prove that u^* solves (2.6)–(2.9) with u^0 and W_1 are replaced by u^{0*} and W_1^* respectively.

We will pass the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (2.18) for $u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*$. For the nonlinear term $f(u)$ increases polynomially, in order to pass the limit in $f(u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*)$ we restrict u_ϵ in a bounded set in $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_1}^1(D)$. However this is impossible for stochastic process $u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*$ which converges just in space $\mathcal{H}$. For this define a new probability space $(\Omega_\delta^*, \mathcal{F}_\delta^*, \mathbb{P}_\delta^*)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_\delta^* &= \{\omega' \in \Omega : u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^* \in K_\delta\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_\delta^* &= \{S \cap \Omega_\delta^* : S \in \mathcal{F}^*\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_\delta^*(S) = \frac{\mathbb{P}^*(S \cap \Omega_\delta^*)}{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_\delta^*)}, \quad \text{for } S \in \mathcal{F}_\delta^*.$$

Denote by $\mathbb{E}_\delta^*$ the expectation operator with respect to $\mathbb{P}_\delta^*$. It is clear that $\mathbf{P}(\Omega^* \setminus \Omega_\delta^*) \leq \delta$.

Since the distribution of $u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*$ is same as that of $u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}$, $u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*$ converges to u^* in space $L^2(0, T; L^2(D)) \cap C(0, T; \mathbf{H}^{-1}(D))$ under the usual metric for $\omega \in \Omega_\delta^*$. Here the usual metric in the intersection $X \cap Y$, of two metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) , is the metric $d := d_X + d_Y$ or equivalently $d := \max\{d_X, d_Y\}$.

Now in the following we determine the limiting system satisfied by u^* restricted on probability space $(\Omega_\delta^*, \mathcal{F}_\delta^*, \mathbb{P}_\delta^*)$. By the weak solution in the sense of (3.1), for any $\psi \in C^1(0, T; C^\infty(D))$ with $\psi(T) = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}& - \int_0^T \langle u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*(t), \dot{\psi} \rangle_D dt - \epsilon \int_0^T \langle \gamma_1 u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*(t), \dot{\psi} \rangle_{\Gamma_1} dt \\ &= \int_0^T a(u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*, \psi) dt + \int_0^T \langle f(u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*), \psi \rangle_D dt + \int_0^T \langle \sigma_1 \dot{W}_{1k}^*(t), \psi \rangle_D dt + \\ & \quad \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^T \langle \sigma_2 \dot{W}_{2k}^*(t), \psi \rangle_{\Gamma_1} dt + \langle u_k^{0*}, \psi(0) \rangle_D + \epsilon \langle \gamma_1 u_k^{0*}, \gamma_1 \psi(0) \rangle_{\Gamma_1},\end{aligned}\tag{5.1}$$

on $(\Omega_\delta^*, \mathcal{F}_\delta^*, \mathbb{P}_\delta^*)$.

We consider the terms in (5.1) respectively. Since $u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*$ converges weakly to u^* in $L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D))$

$$\int_0^T a(u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*, \psi) dt \rightarrow \int_0^T a(u^*, \psi) dt, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0\tag{5.2}$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega_\delta^*$. By the definition of Ω_δ^* and the choice of K_δ , $|u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*(t)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}$ is bounded uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$. By the embedding of $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)$ into $L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(D)$, $|f(u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*)|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(D))}$ is bounded. Then by Lemma 2.5 and

assumption **(F)**, $f(u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*)$ converges weakly to $f(u^*)$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(D))$ which means for $\omega \in \Omega_\delta^*$

$$\int_0^T \langle f(u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*), \psi \rangle_D dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \langle f(u^*), \psi \rangle_D dt, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.3)$$

By assumption **(Σ)** and the property of stochastic integral, see also Lemma 3.1 of [25]

$$\int_0^T \langle \sigma_1 \dot{W}_{1k}^*(s), \psi(s) \rangle_D ds \rightarrow \int_0^T \langle \sigma_1 \dot{W}_1^*(s), \psi(s) \rangle_D ds \quad (5.4)$$

in $\mathbb{P}_\delta^*$ -probability and

$$\sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^T \langle \sigma_2 \dot{W}_{2k}^*(s), \psi(s) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} ds \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.5)$$

in $\mathbb{P}_\delta^*$ -probability. Moreover for $\omega \in \Omega_\delta^*$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \epsilon \int_0^T \langle \gamma_1 u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*(t), \dot{\psi} \rangle_{\Gamma_1} dt \right|^2 \\ & \leq \epsilon^2 T \|\psi\|_{C^1(0, T; H^1(D))}^2 \int_0^T |u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^*|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 dt \\ & \rightarrow 0, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Then combining the above analysis in (5.2)–(5.6) and by the density argument we could conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \langle u^*(t), \dot{\psi} \rangle_D dt \\ & = \int_0^T a(u^*, \psi) dt + \int_0^T \langle f(u^*), \psi \rangle_D dt + \int_0^T \langle \sigma_1 \dot{W}_1^*(t), \psi \rangle_D dt + \\ & \quad + \langle u^{0*}, \psi(0) \rangle_D. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Integrating by parts in (5.7) we see that the limiting function u^* satisfies the following system with deterministic boundary condition

$$du^* = [\Delta u^* + f(u^*)] dt + \sigma_1 dW_1^*(t) \text{ in } D, \quad (5.8)$$

$$\partial_\nu u^* + u^* = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \quad (5.9)$$

$$u^* = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2, \quad (5.10)$$

$$u^*(0) = u^{0*} \text{ in } D, \quad (5.11)$$

on the probability space $(\Omega_\delta^*, \mathcal{F}_\delta^*, \mathbb{P}_\delta^*)$, which has static boundary condition. For the above system (5.8)–(5.11) we can rewrite in the following abstract form

$$du^* = [-A_0 u^* + f(u^*)] dt + \sigma_1 dW_1^*(t), \quad u^*(0) = u^{0*} \quad (5.12)$$

where the operator $-A_0$ is the Laplace operator with the Robin boundary condition. The corresponding bilinear form is

$$a_0(v_1, v_2) = \int_D \nabla v_1 \nabla v_2 dx + \int_{\Gamma_1} (\gamma_1 v_1)(\gamma_1 v_2) d\Gamma_1.$$

Then by Theorem 7.4 of [12], and a similar analysis in the proof of Theorem 3.1, for any $T > 0$ system (5.14)–(5.17) has a unique solution $u^* \in L^2(\Omega_\delta^*, L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)) \cap C(0, T; L^2(D)))$ in the sense of (5.7).

Then by the arbitrariness of the choice of δ ,

$$u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}^* \text{ converges in } \mathbb{P}^* - \text{probability to } u^* \quad (5.13)$$

which solves

$$du^* = [\Delta u^* + f(u^*)]dt + \sigma_1 dW_1^*(t) \text{ in } D, \quad (5.14)$$

$$\partial_\nu u^* + u^* = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \quad (5.15)$$

$$u^* = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2, \quad (5.16)$$

$$u^*(0) = u^{0*} \text{ in } D, \quad (5.17)$$

on the probability space $(\Omega^*, \mathcal{F}^*, \mathbb{P}^*)$. In order to obtain the convergence in probability of u_ϵ , we need the following lemma from [25].

Lemma 5.1. *Let Z_n be a sequence of random elements in a Polish space $(\mathbb{X}, d)$ equipped with Borel σ -algebra. Then Z_n converges in probability to an $\mathbb{X}$ -valued random element if and only if for every pair subsequences Z_l and Z_m , there exists a subsequence $v_k := (Z_{j(k)}, Z_{m(k)})$ converging weakly to a random element v supported on the diagonal $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} : x = y\}$.*

Here we call an $\mathbb{X}$ -valued random variable X_n converges weakly to X if

$$\mathbf{E}f(X_n) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} f(x) \mathbb{P}_n(dx) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{X}} f(x) P(dx) = \mathbf{E}f(X)$$

with $\mathbb{P}_n = \mathcal{L}(X_n)$ and $\mathbb{P} = \mathcal{L}(X)$. Notice that convergence in probability implies weakly convergence, see [2, 16]. Then by the uniqueness property of solution for equations (2.6)–(2.9) which can be proved similarly by that for equations (5.8)–(5.11), we can formulate the main result in this section by Lemma 5.1.

Theorem 5.2. (*Effective system*)

Assume that conditions **(F)** and **(Σ)** are satisfied. Let $u^0 \in L^2(D)$ be a $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{B}(L^2(D)))$ -measurable random variable, which is independent of $W(t)$, with $\mathbf{E}|u^0|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 < \infty$ and $\mathbf{E}[|u^0|_{L^2(D)}^4 + |\gamma_1 u^0|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^4] < \infty$. Then for any $T > 0$, the solution u_ϵ of the stochastic system (2.1)–(2.5) converges to u ,

which is the solution of the effective limiting system (2.6)–(2.9), in probability in space $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(0, T; L^2(D)) \cap C(0, T; H^{-1}(D)).$$

6. NORMAL DEVIATIONS

We have proved u_ϵ approaches u in probability in space $\mathcal{H}$, namely, the difference $u_\epsilon - u$ tends to 0 in probability in space $\mathcal{H}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In this section we consider the order of $u_\epsilon - u$ in ϵ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, that is, the normal deviations of u_ϵ away from the effective solution u . We prove that the order is $\frac{1}{2}$ and the normalized difference $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(u_\epsilon - u)$ converges in an appropriate function space. In this section we assume the assumption in Theorem 5.2.

Denote by $v_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(u_\epsilon - u)$. Then we have the following initial boundary value problem for v_ϵ

$$\dot{v}_\epsilon = \Delta v_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(f(u_\epsilon) - f(u)), \text{ in } D \quad (6.1)$$

$$\partial_\nu v_\epsilon + v_\epsilon = -\sqrt{\epsilon}\dot{u}_\epsilon + \sigma_2\dot{W}_2, \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \quad (6.2)$$

$$v_\epsilon = 0, \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \quad (6.3)$$

$$v_\epsilon(0) = 0. \quad (6.4)$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we expect v_ϵ converges in some sense to the solution v of the following linear system

$$dv = [\Delta v + f'(u)v]dt, \text{ in } D \quad (6.5)$$

$$\partial_\nu v + v = \sigma_2\dot{W}_2, \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \quad (6.6)$$

$$v = 0, \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \quad (6.7)$$

$$v(0) = 0. \quad (6.8)$$

Note that the limiting system (6.5)–(6.8) contains a static boundary with random force (but not dynamical). For the wellposedness of the above two systems we follow the approach of [11, 13]; see also [32]. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a linear bounded operator from $L^2(\Gamma_1)$ into $L^2(D)$ defined as the solution of following problem

$$ry - \Delta y = 0 \text{ in } D, \quad \partial_\nu y + y = g \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \quad (6.9)$$

with $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (6.9) has a unique solution $y = \mathcal{N}g$ for any $g \in L^2(\Gamma_1)$. Here $\mathcal{N}$ is called the Neumann mapping. For our problem let $g = g_\epsilon = -\sqrt{\epsilon}\dot{u}_\epsilon + \sigma_2\dot{W}_2$ and $g_0 = \sigma_2\dot{W}_2$. However it is easy to see that g_ϵ and g_0 are not in the space $L^2(\Gamma_1)$. Fortunately, we can extend $\mathcal{N}$ to a bounded linear operator from $H^\varrho(\Gamma_1)$ to D_A^ε which is the domain of the operator $(rI - A)^\varepsilon$ with $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\varrho}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$, $\varrho > -\frac{3}{2}$, see [30] or example 3.1 in [32]. Here A is a second order

differential operator defined on $\{u \in H^2(D), \partial_\nu u = 0\}$ with $Au = \Delta u$. Denote by $S(t)$ the C_0 semigroup generated by the linear operator A . Then we can write the solution of (6.1)–(6.4) and (6.5)–(6.8) respectively in the following mild sense

$$\begin{aligned} v_\epsilon(t) = & - \int_0^t AS(t-r)\mathcal{N}(\gamma_1 v_\epsilon)(r)dr + \sigma_2 \int_0^t AS(t-r)\mathcal{N}dW_2(r) + \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_0^t S(t-r)[f(u_\epsilon(r)) - f(u(r))]dr + \\ & \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^t AS(t-r)\mathcal{N}(\gamma_1 u_\epsilon)(r)dr \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) = & - \int_0^t AS(t-r)\mathcal{N}(\gamma_1 v)(r)dr + \sigma_2 \int_0^t AS(t-r)\mathcal{N}dW_2(r) + \\ & \int_0^t S(t-r)f'(u(r))v(r)dr. \end{aligned}$$

By the Example 3.1 of [32] for any $T > 0$, there exist functions v_ϵ and v , both in $C(0, T; L^2(\Omega, L^2(D)))$, which are unique mild solutions of (6.1)–(6.4) and (6.5)–(6.8), respectively.

Remark 6.1. For a special one-dimensional case on the domain $D = (-1, 1)$, the solution is proved earlier [23] in a special weighted space $\hat{C}_a \subset C_a([0, T] \times (-1, 1))$. Here $C_a([0, T] \times (-1, 1))$ consisting of all continuous functions $u(t, x)$, $t \in [0, T]$, $|x| < 1$, such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} a(x)u(t, x) = 0$ uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$. Here weighted function $a(x)$ can be chosen as $(1 - x^2)^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then $\hat{C}_a \subset C_a([0, T] \times (-1, 1))$ consisting of $u(t, x)$ such that $h(t, x) = \int_0^t u(s, x)ds$ has uniform limit in $t \in [0, T]$ when $x \rightarrow 1$ and when $x \rightarrow -1$.

Let ν_ϵ be the distributions of v_ϵ in the space $L^2(0, T; L^2(D))$. For our purpose in the following, we prove the tightness of ν_ϵ . First we should derive a further a priori estimate for v_ϵ . As pointed out in [32] the Itô formula cannot be used for the Lyapunov function $V(x) = |x|^p$, $p > 0$. We treat v_ϵ in the mild sense (6.10). For any $\delta > 0$, we still consider $\omega \in A_\delta$ which defined by (4.2).

Lemma 6.2. Let $u^0 \in L^2(D)$ be a $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{B}(L^2(D)))$ -measurable random variable, which is independent of $W(t)$, with $\mathbf{E}|u^0|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 < \infty$ and $\mathbf{E}[|u^0|_{L^2(D)}^4 + |\gamma_1 u^0|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^4] < \infty$. Then for any $T > 0$, there exist a $\lambda > 0$ and a positive

constant C_T such that

$$\mathbf{E}[\chi_{A_\delta} |v_\epsilon|^2]_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^\lambda(D))} \leq C_T.$$

Here $\chi_{A_\delta}(\omega) = 1$ for $\omega \in A_\delta$ and $\chi_{A_\delta}(\omega) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. By the similar estimates in the proof for Proposition 2.2 of [32] and estimates (3.7) with $m = p$, noticing that the initial value is zero, we have

$$\mathbf{E}[\chi_{A_\delta} |v_\epsilon|^q]_{L^q(0,T;\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^\lambda(D))} \leq C_T$$

for some $q > 2$. Then by the Hölder inequality we have the result. $\square$

Furthermore multiplying $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(D)$ to both sides of (6.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle \dot{v}_\epsilon(t), \varphi \rangle_D dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \langle \nabla v_\epsilon(t), \nabla \varphi \rangle_D dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_0^T \langle (f(u_\epsilon(t)) - f(u(t))), \varphi \rangle_D dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 6.2 we deduce

$$\mathbf{E}[\chi_{A_\delta} |\dot{v}_\epsilon(t)|^2]_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{H}^{\lambda-1}(D))} \leq C_T \quad (6.11)$$

for some positive constant C_T . Then by the Chebyshev inequality and Lemma 2.6, for any $\delta > 0$ there is a compact subset $N_\delta \subset L^2(0,T;L^2(D))$ such that

$$\mathbf{P}\{v_\epsilon \in N_\delta\} > 1 - \delta.$$

That is, the probability measure sequence $\{\nu_\epsilon\}$ is tight in space $L^2(0,T;L^2(D))$. Then Prohorov theorem and Skorohod embedding theorem ([12]) assure that for any sequence $\{\epsilon_j\}_j$ with $\epsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, there exist subsequence $\{\epsilon_{j(k)}\}$, random elements $\{\bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}\} \subset L^2(0,T;L^2(D))$, $\bar{v} \in L^2(0,T;L^2(D))$, $L^2(\Gamma_1)$ -valued Wiener process $\bar{W}_{2k}$ defined on a new probability space $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$, such that

$$\mathcal{L}(\bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}) = \mathcal{L}(v_{\epsilon_{j(k)}})$$

and

$$\bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}} \rightarrow \bar{v} \text{ in } L^2(0,T;L^2(D)) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

for almost all $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}$. $\bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}$ solves (6.1)–(6.4) with W_2 replaced by $\bar{W}_{2k}$. And for almost all $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\bar{W}_{2k} - \bar{W}_2|_{L^2(D)} \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover $\bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{j(k)}}}(\bar{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}} - \bar{u})$ for some random elements $\bar{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}$, $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{L}(\bar{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}) = \mathcal{L}(u_{\epsilon_{j(k)}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\bar{u}) = \mathcal{L}(u)$.

In order to pass limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in f' , by the same approach of §5 we define the following new probability space $(\bar{\Omega}_\delta, \bar{\mathcal{F}}_\delta, \bar{\mathbb{P}}_\delta)$ as

$$\bar{\Omega}_\delta = \{\omega' \in \bar{\Omega} : v_\epsilon(\omega') \in N_\delta\},$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\delta = \{S \cap \bar{\Omega}_\delta : S \in \mathcal{F}_\delta\}$$

and

$$\bar{\mathbb{P}}_\delta(S) = \frac{\mathbb{P}_\delta(S \cap \bar{\Omega}_\delta)}{\mathbb{P}_\delta(\bar{\Omega}_\delta)}, \text{ for } S \in \mathcal{F}_\delta.$$

Now we restrict the system (6.1)–(6.4) on the probability space $(\bar{\Omega}_\delta, \bar{\mathcal{F}}_\delta, \bar{\mathbb{P}}_\delta)$. By the definition of $\bar{\Omega}_\delta$ and the discussion in §5 for almost all $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}_\delta$

$$\bar{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(t, x) \rightarrow \bar{u}(t, x), \text{ almost everywhere in } [0, T] \times D. \quad (6.12)$$

And for $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}_\delta$, $\bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}$ converges to $\bar{v}$ almost surely on $[0, T] \times D$,

$$\bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}} \rightarrow \bar{v} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^\lambda(D)), \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}} \rightarrow \bar{v} \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(D)), \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Taking $\psi \in C_0^\infty(0, T; C^\infty(D))$ as the testing function for (6.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \langle \bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s), \dot{\psi}(s) \rangle_D ds \\ &= \int_0^T \langle \bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s), \Delta \psi(s) \rangle_D ds - \int_0^T \langle \partial_\nu \psi(s), \bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} ds + \\ & \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_0^T \langle f(\bar{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s) - f(\bar{u}(s)), \psi \rangle_D ds - \int_0^T \langle \bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s), \psi(s) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} ds + \\ & \quad \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^T \langle \bar{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s), \dot{\psi}(s) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} ds + \int_0^T \langle \sigma_2 \dot{\bar{W}}_{2k}(s), \psi(s) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

We pass the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (6.13). Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sqrt{\epsilon_{j(k)}} \int_0^T \langle \bar{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s), \dot{\psi}(s) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} ds \right| \\ & \leq \sqrt{\epsilon_{j(k)}} \int_0^T |\bar{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s)|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} |\dot{\psi}(s)|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} ds \\ & \leq \sqrt{\epsilon_{j(k)}} \int_0^T |\bar{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^\lambda(D)}^2 ds + \sqrt{\epsilon_{j(k)}} \int_0^T |\dot{\psi}(s)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 ds \\ & \rightarrow 0, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \text{ for } \omega \in \bar{\Omega}_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption **(F)** and (6.12)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{j(k)}}} (f(\bar{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s)) - f(\bar{u}(s))) = f'(\tilde{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s)) \bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}$$

with $\tilde{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}} \rightarrow \bar{u}$ almost surely on $[0, T] \times D$. Then by Lemma 2.5, $f'(\tilde{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}})$ converges weakly to $f'(\bar{u})$, for $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}_\delta$. And by the choice of $\bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}$, which converges strongly to $\bar{v}$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(D))$, we have $f'(\tilde{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s)) \bar{v}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}$ converges weakly to $f'(\bar{u}) \bar{v}$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(D))$ which yields

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{j(k)}}} \int_0^T \langle f(\bar{u}_{\epsilon_{j(k)}}(s)) - f(\bar{u}(s)), \psi \rangle_D ds \rightarrow \int_0^T \langle f'(\bar{u}) \bar{v}, \psi \rangle_D ds \text{ for } \omega \in \bar{\Omega}_\delta.$$

Also by Lemma 3.1 of [25]

$$\int_0^T \langle \sigma_2 \dot{\bar{W}}_{2k}(s), \psi(s) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} ds \rightarrow \int_0^T \langle \sigma_2 \dot{\bar{W}}_2(s), \psi(s) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} ds, \quad k \rightarrow \infty$$

in $\bar{\mathbb{P}}_\delta$ -probability.

Then combining all the above analysis for the terms in (6.13), we can pass the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (6.13) and conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle \dot{\bar{v}}(s), \psi(s) \rangle_D ds \\ &= \int_0^T \langle \Delta \bar{v}(s), \psi(s) \rangle_D ds + \int_0^T \langle f'(\bar{u}) \bar{v}, \psi(s) \rangle_D ds \\ & \quad - \int_0^T \langle \bar{v}(s), \psi(s) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} ds + \int_0^T \langle \sigma_2 \dot{\bar{W}}_2(s), \psi(s) \rangle_{\Gamma_1} ds, \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

which is the variational form of (6.5)–(6.8). Notice that we have proved the wellposedness of (6.5)–(6.8). Then by the arbitrariness of δ and the same discussion in the proof of Theorem 5.2, we have the following result on normal deviations.

Theorem 6.3. (Normal deviations principle)

Assume that the conditions **(F)** and **(Σ')** are satisfied. Let $u^0 \in L^2(D)$ be a $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{B}(L^2(D)))$ -measurable random variable, which is independent of $W(t)$, with $\mathbf{E}|u^0|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 < \infty$ and $\mathbf{E}[|u^0|_{L^2(D)}^4 + |\gamma_1 u^0|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^4] < \infty$. Let u_ϵ and u be the unique weak solutions of (2.1)–(2.5) and (2.6)–(2.9), respectively. Then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(u_\epsilon - u)$ converges in probability to a stochastic process v , which is the solution of the linear random system (6.5)–(6.8), in the space $L^2(0, T; L^2(D))$.

7. LARGE DEVIATIONS

In §5, Theorem 5.2, we have proved that $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We have also obtained convergence result of the normal deviations of order $\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in §6, Theorem 6.3, which implies the normal deviations of order ϵ^κ tend to 0 for $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$. In this section we consider the logarithmic asymptotics of the deviations of order ϵ^κ , $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$, in probability. That is, we consider the deviations of $v_\epsilon^\kappa = \epsilon^{-\kappa}(u_\epsilon - u)$ which satisfies

$$\dot{v}_\epsilon^\kappa = \Delta v_\epsilon^\kappa + \epsilon^{-\kappa}(f(u_\epsilon) - f(u)), \text{ in } D \quad (7.1)$$

$$\partial_\nu v_\epsilon^\kappa + v_\epsilon^\kappa = -\epsilon^{1-\kappa}\dot{u}_\epsilon + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\sigma_2\dot{W}_2, \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \quad (7.2)$$

$$v_\epsilon^\kappa = 0, \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \quad (7.3)$$

$$v_\epsilon^\kappa(0) = 0. \quad (7.4)$$

We intend to prove that the family $\{v_\epsilon^\kappa : \epsilon > 0\}$ satisfies the large deviations principle in $L^2(0, T; L^2(D))$. We follow the results on large deviations in [5] for Polish space valued random elements; see also [36] for large deviations of two-dimensional stochastic Navier-Stokes equations.

Let $H_0 \subset H$ be Hilbert spaces with norm $|\cdot|_{H_0}$ and $|\cdot|_H$ respectively. Assume that the embedding of H_0 in H is Hilbert-Schmidt. Define $\mathcal{A}$ the class of H_0 -valued $\mathcal{F}_t$ -predictable process w satisfying $\int_0^T |w(s)|_{H_0}^2 ds < \infty$ a.s. For $M > 0$ let

$$\mathcal{S}_M = \{w \in L^2(0, T; H_0) : \int_0^T |w(s)|_{H_0}^2 ds \leq M\}$$

which is a Polish space (i.e., complete separable metric space) endowed with the weak topology. Define $\mathcal{A}_M = \{w \in \mathcal{A} : w \in \mathcal{S}_M, a.s.\}$.

Let E be a Polish space and $g^\epsilon : C(0, T; H) \rightarrow E$ be a measurable map. Let V be an H -valued Wiener process. Define $Y^\epsilon = g^\epsilon(V(\cdot))$. We consider the large deviation principle for Y^ϵ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Since E is a Polish space, the Laplace principle and the large deviation principle are equivalent [36].

Definition 7.1. A function I mapping E to $[0, \infty]$ is called a rate function if it is lower semicontinuous. A rate function I is called a good rate function if for each $M < \infty$, the level set $\{y \in E : I(y) \leq M\}$ is compact in E .

Recall that a family $\{Y^\epsilon : \epsilon > 0\}$ of E -valued random elements is said to satisfy the large deviations principle (LDP) with speed $\alpha(\epsilon) \rightarrow \infty$, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and rate function I if (see [22, 12])

- (1) For any $\delta, \gamma > 0$ and $y \in E$, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$

$$\mathbf{P} \{|Y^\epsilon - y|_E < \delta\} \geq \exp\{-\alpha(\epsilon)(I(y) + \gamma)\}.$$

(2) For any $r, \delta, \gamma > 0$, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$

$$\mathbf{P} \{|Y^\epsilon - \Phi(r)|_E \geq \delta\} \leq \exp\{-\alpha(\epsilon)(r - \gamma)\}$$

where $\Phi(r) = \{y \in E : I(y) \leq r\}$.

It is well known that the large deviations principle and the following Laplace principle are equivalent in Polish space.

Definition 7.2. Let I be a rate function on metric space E . A family $\{Y^\epsilon : \epsilon > 0\}$ of E -valued random elements is said to satisfy the Laplace principle on E with rate function I and speed $\alpha(\epsilon) \rightarrow \infty, \epsilon \rightarrow 0$, if for each real valued, bounded and continuous function h defined on E ,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha(\epsilon)} \log \mathbf{E} \{\exp [-\alpha(\epsilon)h(Y^\epsilon)]\} = - \inf_{y \in E} \{h(y) + I(y)\}.$$

For our purpose we introduce the assumption

(H): There exists a measurable map $g^0 : C(0, T; H) \rightarrow E$ such that

- (1) Let $\{w^\epsilon : \epsilon > 0\} \subset \mathcal{A}_M$ for some $M > 0$. Let w^ϵ converges in distribution to w . Then $g^\epsilon \left(V(\cdot) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha(\epsilon)}} \int_0^\cdot w^\epsilon(s) ds \right)$ converges in distribution to $g^0(\int_0^\cdot w(s) ds)$.
- (2) For every $M < \infty$, the set $K_M = \{g^0(\int_0^\cdot w(s) ds) : w \in \mathcal{S}_M\}$ is a compact subset of E .

For each $g \in E$, define

$$I(y) = \inf_{\{w \in L^2(0, T; H_0) : y = g^0(\int_0^\cdot w(s) ds)\}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |w(s)|_{H_0}^2 ds \right\}. \quad (7.5)$$

Then we have the following theorem

Theorem 7.3. Let $Y^\epsilon = g^\epsilon(V(\cdot))$. If g^ϵ satisfies the assumption **(H)**, then the family $\{Y^\epsilon : \epsilon > 0\}$ satisfies the Laplace principle in E with rate function I given by (7.5) and speed $\alpha(\epsilon)$.

The proof of the above theorem is similar to that of the proof of Theorem 4.4 in [5] which is for the speed $\alpha(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{-1}$. We omit it here.

In the following we apply the above result to the system (7.1)–(7.4). In this case $H = L^2(\Gamma_1)$, $H_0 = Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}}H$, $E = L^2(0, T; L^2(D))$, $V(\cdot) = W_2(\cdot)$ and $\alpha(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{2\kappa-1}$, $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$. Since Q_2 is a trace class operator, the embedding of H_0 in H is Hilbert-Schmidt. By the analysis of Section 6 there exists a Borel measurable function $g^\epsilon : C(0, T; H) \rightarrow E$, such that $v_\epsilon^\kappa = g^\epsilon(W_2)$. We intend to verify the assumption **(H)** for g^ϵ . In fact four lemmas are proved

to complete the verification. Let g^ϵ be defined as above. For any $w \in \mathcal{A}_M$, $0 < M < \infty$, denote $g^\epsilon \left(W_2(\cdot) + \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}+\kappa} \int_0^\cdot w(s)ds \right)$ by $\hat{v}_\epsilon^\kappa$.

Lemma 7.4. *$\hat{v}_\epsilon^\kappa$ is the unique weak solution of the following stochastic system:*

$$\dot{\hat{v}}_\epsilon^\kappa = \Delta \hat{v}_\epsilon^\kappa + \epsilon^{-\kappa} (f(u_\epsilon) - f(u)), \text{ in } D \quad (7.6)$$

$$\partial_\nu \hat{v}_\epsilon^\kappa + \hat{v}_\epsilon^\kappa = -\epsilon^{1-\kappa} \dot{u}_\epsilon + \sigma_2 w + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} \sigma_2 \dot{W}_2, \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \quad (7.7)$$

$$\hat{v}_\epsilon^\kappa = 0, \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \quad (7.8)$$

$$\hat{v}_\epsilon^\kappa(0) = 0 \quad (7.9)$$

in $L^2(\Omega, C(0, T; L^2(D)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^\lambda(D)))$. Here λ is chosen in Lemma 6.2.

Proof. This result follows from a Girsanov argument. In fact let $\widetilde{W}_2(\cdot) = W_2(\cdot) + \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}+\kappa} \int_0^\cdot w(s)ds$. Then $\widetilde{W}_2$ is Wiener process with covariation Q under the probability $\tilde{P}_w$ which satisfies

$$d\tilde{P}_w = \exp \left\{ \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}+\kappa} \int_0^T w(s) dW_2(s) - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{-1+2\kappa} \int_0^T |w(s)|_H^2 ds \right\} d\mathbf{P}.$$

Then a similar analysis in Section 6 yields the result. $\square$

Lemma 7.5. *Let $w \in L^2(0, T; H)$. Then the following stochastic system*

$$\dot{\rho}_w = \Delta \rho_w + f'(u) \rho_w, \text{ in } D \quad (7.10)$$

$$\partial_\nu \rho_w + \rho_w = \sigma_2 w, \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \quad (7.11)$$

$$\rho_w = 0, \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \quad (7.12)$$

$$\rho_w(0) = 0. \quad (7.13)$$

has a unique weak solution $\rho_w \in C(0, T; L^2(D)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D))$.

Proof. This is a classical result of nonhomogeneous boundary problem [30]. $\square$

We now define the function g^0 as follows: $g^0(h) := \rho_w$ if $h = \int_0^\cdot w(s)ds$ for some $w \in L^2(0, T; H)$, otherwise $g^0(h) = 0$. By the same discussion in Section 6 for the normal deviations we conclude that

Lemma 7.6. *Let $\{w^\epsilon\} \subset \mathcal{S}_M$ converge in distribution to w , as a $\mathcal{S}_M$ -valued random variable. Then $g^\epsilon \left(W_2(\cdot) + \epsilon^{\kappa-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\cdot w^\epsilon(s)ds \right)$ converges in distribution to $g^0 \left(\int_0^\cdot w(s)ds \right)$ in E .*

Lemma 7.7. *Let $0 < M < \infty$ be fixed. Then the set $K_M = \{g^0(\int_0^\cdot w(s)ds) : w \in \mathcal{S}_M\}$ is compact in E .*

Proof. By the definition of $\mathcal{S}_M$, for any sequence $\{w^n\} \subset \mathcal{S}_M$, there is a subsequence w^n (relabelled by n) and $w \in \mathcal{S}_M$ such that w^n weakly converges to w as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then it is enough to prove that ρ_{w^n} converges to ρ_w in E . Let $\Theta_n = \rho_{w^n} - \rho_w$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\Theta}_n &= \Delta \Theta_n + f'(u) \Theta_n, \text{ in } D \\ \partial_\nu \Theta_n + \Theta_n &= \sigma_2(w^n - w), \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \\ \Theta_n &= 0, \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \\ \Theta_n(0) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

A simple energy estimate and the fact that embedding of H_0 in H is Hilbert-Schmidt yield

$$\begin{aligned}& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\Theta_n(t)|_{L^2(D)}^2 + \int_0^T |\Theta_n(s)|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 ds \\ & \leq C(T, b, \sigma_2) \int_0^T |w^n(s) - w(s)|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2 ds \\ & \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

By the Lemma 7.4–7.7 and Theorem 7.3 we can draw the following result.

Theorem 7.8. (*Large deviations principle*)

Assume that the conditions $(\mathbf{F})$ and $(\mathbf{\Sigma}')$ are satisfied. Let $u^0 \in L^2(D)$ be a $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{B}(L^2(D)))$ -measurable random variable, which is independent of $(W_1(t), W_2(t))$ with $\mathbf{E}|u^0|_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_2}^1(D)}^2 < \infty$ and $\mathbf{E}[|u^0|_{L^2(D)}^4 + |\gamma_1 u^0|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^4] < \infty$. Let u_ϵ and u be the unique weak solutions of (2.1)–(2.5) and (5.8)–(5.11), respectively. Then for any $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$, $\epsilon^{-\kappa}(u_\epsilon - u)$ satisfies large deviations principle with good rate function $I(\cdot)$ given by (7.5) and speed $\epsilon^{2\kappa-1}$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(D))$.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Francesco Russo, Mauro Mariani, Mark I. Freidlin, and Zihui Yang for helpful discussions. We are grateful to Paul Dupuis for pointing out the paper [5] and Padma Sundar for the paper [36].

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Amann & J. Escher, Strongly continuous dual semigroups, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **CLXXI** (1996), 41-62.
- [2] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, second edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1999.

- [3] S. Bonaccorsi and G. Ziglio, A semigroup approach to stochastic dynamical boundary value problems. *Systems, control, modeling and optimization*, 55–65, IFIP Int. Fed. Inf. Process., 202, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [4] V. P. Bongolan-Walsh, J. Duan and T. Ozgokmen. Dynamics of Transport under Random Fluxes on the Boundary. *Comm. in Non. Sci. and Numer. Simu.*, online version doi:10.1016/j.cnsns.2006.09.019, 2006.
- [5] A. Budhiraja & P. Dupuis, A variational representation for positive functionals of infinite dimensional Brownian motion, *Prob. and Math. Stat.* **20** (2000), 39-61.
- [6] S. Cerrai, Stochastic reaction-diffusion systems with multiplicative noise and non-Lipschitz reaction term, *Prob. Th. and Relat. Fields* **125** (2003), 271-304.
- [7] S. Cerrai and M. Rockner, Large deviations for stochastic reaction-diffusion systems with multiplicative noise and non-Lipschitz reaction term, *Ann. Prob.* **32** (2004), 1100-1139.
- [8] P. L. Chow, *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, New York, 2007.
- [9] I. Chueshov & B. Schmalfuss, Parabolic stochastic partial differential equations with dynamical boundary conditions, *Diff. and Integ. Eq.* **17** (2004), 751-780.
- [10] I. Chueshov & B. Schmalfuss, Qualitative behavior of a class of stochastic parabolic PDEs with dynamical boundary conditions. *Disc. and Cont. Dyna. Syst.* **18**(2-3) (2007), 315-338.
- [11] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, Evolution equations with white-noise boundary conditions. *Stoch. Stoch. Rep.* **42** (1993), 167-182.
- [12] G. Da Prato & J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [13] G. Da Prato & J. Zabczyk, *Ergodicity for Infinite Dimensional Systems*, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [14] H. A. Dijkstra, *Nonlinear Physical Oceanography*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 2000.
- [15] J. Duan, H. Gao and B. Schmalfuss, Stochastic Dynamics of a Coupled Atmosphere-Ocean Model, *Stoch. and Dyna.* **2** (2002), 357–380.
- [16] R. M. Dudley, *Real Analysis and Probability*. Second Printing(corrected). Chapman and Hall, New York, 1993.
- [17] P. Dupuis and R. S. Ellis, *A Weak Convergence Approach to the Theory of Large Deviations*. J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997.
- [18] W. E, X. Li & E. Vanden-Eijnden, Some recent progress in multiscale modeling, *Multiscale modelling and simulation*, Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. Eng., **39**, 3–21, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [19] J. Escher, Global existence and nonexistence for semilinear parabolic systems with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Math. Ann.* **284** (1989), 285-305.
- [20] J. Escher, On the qualitative behavior of some semilinear parabolic problem, *Diff. and Integ. Eq.* **8**(2) (1995), 247-267.
- [21] J. Feng and T. G. Kurtz, *Large Deviations for Stochastic Processes*. AMS, 2007.
- [22] M. I. Freidlin & A. D. Wentzell, *Random Perturbation of Dynamical Systems*, Springer Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1984.
- [23] M. I. Freidlin & A. D. Wentzell, Reaction-diffusion equation with randomly perturbed boundary condition, *Annl. of Prob.* **20**(2) (1992), 963-986.

- [24] A. V. Fursikov & M. I. Vishik, *Mathematical Problems of Statistical Hydrodynamics*. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 1988.
- [25] I. Gyöngy & N. Krylov, Existence of strong solutions for Itô stochastic equations via approximations, *Prob. Th. and Relat. Fields* **105** (1996), 143-158.
- [26] P. Imkeller & A. Monahan (Eds.). *Stochastic Climate Dynamics*, a Special Issue in the journal *Stoch. and Dyna.*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2002.
- [27] R. E. Langer, A problem in diffusion or in the flow of heat for a solid in contact with a fluid. *Tohoku Math. J.* **35** (1932), 260-275.
- [28] L. Lapidus and N. Amundson (eds.), *Chemical Reactor Theory*, Prentice-Hall, 1977.
- [29] J. L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes non linéaires*, Dunod, Paris, 1969.
- [30] J. L. Lions & E. Magenes, *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Application*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.
- [31] Y. Lv & J. Sun, Asymptotic behavior of stochastic discrete complex Ginzburg-Landau equations, *Physica D* **221** (2006), 157-169.
- [32] B. Maslowski, Stability of semilinear equations with boundary and pointwise noise, *Annali Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa Scienze Fisiche e Matematiche* **22** (1995), 55-93.
- [33] J. P. Peixoto and A. H. Oort, *Physics of Climate*. Springer, New York, 1992.
- [34] B. L. Rozovskii, *Stochastic Evolution Equations*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1990.
- [35] R. B. Sowers, Multidimensional reaction-diffusion equations with white noise boundary perturbations, *Ann. Prob.* **22** (1994), 2071-2121.
- [36] S. S. Sritharan & P. Sundar, Large deviations for the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with multiplicative noise, *Stoch. Proc. and Appl.*, **116**(11) (2006), 1636-1659.
- [37] R. Temam & A. Miranville *Mathematical modeling in continuum mechanics*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [38] H. Triebel, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [39] R. Vold & M. Vold, *Colloid and Interface Chemistry*, Addison-Wesley, 1983.
- [40] J. B. Walsh, An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations, *École d'été de Probabilité de Saint Flour XIV*, Lect. Notes in Math. **1180**, 265-439, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [41] E. Waymire & J. Duan (Eds.), *Probability and Partial Differential Equations in Modern Applied Mathematics*. IMA Volume 140, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [42] D. Yang & J. Duan, An impact of stochastic dynamic boundary conditions on the evolution of the Cahn-Hilliard system, *Stoch. Anal. and Appl.* **25** (2007), 613-639.

(W. Wang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING, 210093, CHINA

E-mail address, W. Wang: wangweinju@yahoo.com.cn

(J. Duan) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, ILLINOIS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CHICAGO, IL 60616, USA

E-mail address, J. Duan: duan@iit.edu